

Appeal NO. : NSICL/A/2019/60012 Dated 24.07.2019 of Sh. Felix

Decision


I have carefully examined the copy of your RTI request dt. 13.06.2019, (bearing regn. Number NSICL/R/2019/80011) order of CPIO dt. 08.07.2019 and appeal dt. 24.07.2019.

It is observed that CPIO received your RTI requests seeking same information on 11.06.2019, 13.06.2019, 13.06.2019 and 24.06.2019 after being transferred by CPIO, Dev. Commissioner (MSME) and Ministry of MSME. All the said four applications have been replied by Mr. Manoj Lal on 08.07.2019, 08.07.2019, 09.07.2019 and 19.07.2019.

It is noted that while transferring your application dt. 10.06.2019, vide letter dt. 21.06.2019, CPIO, Ministry of MSME categorically stated that "application is transferred to NSIC under section 6(3) of RTI Act, 2005 with the request to provide the requisite information relating to point no. 3(d) of the RTI application". Accordingly the CPIO provided you complete and appropriate information in respect of point 3(d) including data w.r.t. "Subsidy for Performance & Credit Rating Scheme for SC/ST enterprises".

It is further observed that Appeal dt. 24.07.2019 has been filed on the ground that CPIO could have provided the information with respect of Performance and Credit Rating Scheme till the financial year 2017-18 as sought in point 3(f). It is pertinent to note that appellant had sought information in respect to Performance and Credit Rating Scheme under point 3(d) and not 3(f) as such the CPIO rightly provided the relevant information under 3(d). The CPIO has also specifically stated that point 3(f) does not pertain to NSIC. However, without carefully going through the reply of CPIO you have preferred two appeals dt. 20.07.2019 (which stands disposed of on 09.08.2019) and 24.07.2019 without any valid ground.

In view of above and considering the fact that CPIO has already provided the relevant information pertaining to Performance and Credit Rating Scheme of NSIC under point 3(d), your appeal dt. 24.07.2017 is not found to be maintainable and stands rejected.


(Navin Chopra) 14/3/20
First Appellate Authority